

RECORD OF EXECUTIVE DECISION (THE DECISIONS LIST)

Date:	Decision Maker:	Subject to Call-in*
15 June 2018		No

SUBJECT OF DECISION:

Renewal and Dualling of the A120 between Harwich and Horsley Cross

Decision:

RESOLVED that Cabinet supports the spirit of Councillor I J Henderson's motion but cannot support its wording in its original format and Cabinet therefore it is:-

RECOMMENDED TO COUNCIL that Councillor I J Henderson's motion be amended to read as follows:-

“(1) This Council recognises:

- *The national and international significance of the A120 strategic highway.*
- *That the A120 connects Stansted Airport to the international ports at Harwich and is a key economic corridor essential to the economic success and vitality of much of the East of England.*
- *That the A120 is one of the country's only East-West highways.*
- *That the A120 from Braintree to Marks Tey is wholly inadequate and should be upgraded to dual carriageway as soon as possible.*
- *That the A120 from Hare Green to Harwich is wholly inadequate and should be upgraded to dual carriageway as soon as possible.*

(2) This Council notes and welcomes Essex County Council's support for the dualling of the A120 from Braintree to Marks Tey, but this Council –

- *Questions why no route option was even considered that would run north of the existing route.*
- *Questions the logic of favouring a new route which connects to the A12 so far south, actually closer to Chelmsford than to Colchester, as such an option will lead to far more traffic on the A12 which is already beyond capacity and will lengthen considerably journey times on the A120.*

(3) This Council welcomes and supports the announcement by Highways England Regional Director – Eastern Region, Martin Fellows that the A120 between Harwich and Horsley Cross is at last being proposed for upgrade and dualling and this Council will actively seek support as a matter of urgency from –

- *Our Members of Parliament;*
- *Essex County Council, including those Members elected to represent Tendring; and*
- *All key stakeholders in both the public and the private sector –*

to help make the case that the upgrade, renewal and dualling of the A120 between Harwich and Hare Green is vital not just to the economic prosperity and well-being of the District of Tendring but that it will also positively impact a much wider area.

(4) This Council specifically requests that Essex County Council ensures that the necessary Government funding is secured through the RIS2 (Road Investment Strategy 2) 2020/25 and the A120 is made fit for purpose and upgraded to dual-carriageway status as soon as possible.”

It was further **RESOLVED** that the Leader of the Council works with Councillor I J Henderson to review the final wording of the proposed amended motion, prior to the full Council meeting on 3 July 2018, in order to make it as effective as possible.

Reasons for Decision:

Having considered and discussed the motion.

Alternative Options Considered:

To support the motion in its original format.

**Conflicts of Interest Declared
(and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)**

None.

Consultation with Ward Member:

None.

Contact Officer:

Ian Ford, Committee Services Manager

Date:	Decision Maker:	Subject to Call-in*
15 June 2018	Cabinet	No

SUBJECT OF DECISION:

Former Public Conveniences at Ipswich Road, Holland-on-Sea

Decision:

RECOMMENDED TO COUNCIL that Councillor Winfield's motion be not supported.

Reasons for Decision:

Having considered and discussed the motion.

Alternative Options Considered:

To support the motion in its original format.

**Conflicts of Interest Declared
(and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)**

None.

Consultation with Ward Member:

None.

Contact Officer:

Ian Ford, Committee Services Manager

Date:	Decision Maker:	Subject to Call-in*
15 June 2018	Cabinet	No

SUBJECT OF DECISION:

Performance Report - 2017/18 Outturn

Decision:

RESOLVED that Cabinet notes the intention of the Resources and Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee to carry out task and finish reviews of waste management and recycling rates.

Reasons for Decision:

Having considered the comment of the Resources and Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee and the response of the Finance and Corporate Services Portfolio Holder.

Alternative Options Considered:

None.

**Conflicts of Interest Declared
(and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)**

None.

Consultation with Ward Member:

None.

Contact Officer:

Ian Ford, Committee Services Manager

* The call-in procedure will not apply to a decision where the Chairman of the relevant overview and scrutiny committee's agreement has been obtained that any delay likely to be caused by the call-in process would seriously prejudice the Council's or the public's interest, (Rule 16 (h) of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules) or any decision made where such decision is to be referred to the Council or one of the overview and scrutiny committees for their consideration.